## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

: No. 112 MM 2024

NEW PA PROJECT EDUCATION FUND, NAACP PENNSYLVANIA STATE CONFERENCE, COMMON CAUSE PENNSYLVANIA, LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF PENNSYLVANIA, BLACK POLITICAL EMPOWERMENT PROJECT, POWER INTERFAITH, MAKE THE ROAD PENNSYLVANIA, ONE PA ACTIVISTS UNITED, CASA SAN JOSE, AND PITTSBURGH UNITED,

Petitioners

۷.

AL SCHMIDT, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH, AND ALL 67 COUNTY BOARDS OF ELECTIONS (ADAMS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: ALLEGHENY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: ARMSTRONG COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; BEAVER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; BEDFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: BERKS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; BLAIR COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; BRADFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; BUCKS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: BUTLER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; CAMBRIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; CAMERON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; CARBON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; CENTRE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: CHESTER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; CLARION COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: CLEARFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; CLINTON

COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: COLUMBIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; CUMBERLAND COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: DELAWARE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; ELK COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; ERIE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; FAYETTE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; FOREST COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: GREENE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; HUNTINGDON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; INDIANA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; JUNIATA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: LACKAWANNA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: LANCASTER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; LAWRENCE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; LEBANON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: LEHIGH COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: LUZERNE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; LYCOMING COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; MCKEAN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: MERCER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; MIFFLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; MONROE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: MONTOUR COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: NORTHAMPTON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; PERRY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; PIKE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: POTTER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; SCHUYLKILL COUNTY BOARD OF

ELECTIONS: SNYDER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; SOMERSET COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; SULLIVAN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; TIOGA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; UNION COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; VENANGO COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; WARREN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS: WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; WAYNE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; WESTMORELAND COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; WYOMING COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS; AND YORK COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS),

Respondents

## STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF DENIAL

## JUSTICE DONOHUE

## FILED: October 5, 2024

There are two cases pending on this Court's election docket<sup>1</sup> that, depending on our resolution, may impact the extent of the disenfranchisement of voters who do not date, or incorrectly date, the outer envelopes of their mail-in ballots. This King's Bench petition presents a challenge to the constitutionality of a provision of the Election Code as interpreted by this Court in *Ball v. Chapman*, 289 A.3d 1 (Pa. 2023), that mandates this disenfranchisement. Petitioners contend that, as interpreted, that statutory provision violates Article I, Section 5<sup>2</sup> of our Charter because it needlessly deprives voters of a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Genser v. Butler Cnty. Bd. of Elections, 26 & 27 WAP 2024; Center for Coalfield Justice v. Washington Cnty., 259 WAL 2024.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Elections shall be free and equal; and no power, civil or military, shall at any time interfere to prevent the free exercise of the right of suffrage." PA. CONST. art. I, § 5.

voice in an election when they make this mistake when filling out the outer envelopes containing their mail-in ballots.

Considering whether to declare an act of the General Assembly unconstitutional is serious business. It requires an analysis of the statute as a whole. Decisions in the pending cases may be critical in any such analysis. In my view, it is inappropriate to grant King's Bench jurisdiction in this matter when the legal landscape may be in flux. When embarking on considering a challenge to the constitutionality of a statute, we must be standing on firm terrain. We currently are not, and therefore, I believe it is necessary to deny this King's Bench petition. Time will tell if there is a future challenge, in the ordinary course, in a court of common pleas.

Justice McCaffery joins this statement in support of denial.