IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE, : No. 406 MAL 2018

Respondent

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal from: the Order of the Commonwealth Court

٧.

:

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF

PENNSYLVANIA,

Petitioner

ORDER

AND NOW, this 3rd day of December, 2018, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issues, as stated by petitioner, are:

- a. Did the Commonwealth Court err in holding that the use of in camera review is inappropriate when the public-safety exemption is claimed and should be reserved for cases involving assertions of attorneyclient privilege, the work-product protection, and the predecisionaldeliberation exception?
- b. Given the standard understanding of plenary review, did the Commonwealth Court err when it reversed the OOR findings of fact without reviewing all of the evidence that OOR reviewed to make those findings?
- c. Did the Commonwealth Court err in finding that the Burig Affidavit, on its face, provided sufficient evidence of a threat to public safety to justify each of the redactions to PSP's social media-monitoring policy - including the redaction of the "definitions" section and the provisions regarding social-media research on prospective employees?

The Pennsylvania NewsMedia Association's motion for leave to file an *amicus* brief in support of petitioner is **GRANTED**.